



THE UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
Food, Nutrition, and Health

FNH 398

Research Methods in Human Nutrition

Lecture Time: Wednesdays and Fridays 4:00-5:30PM

Tutorial Time: Mondays 4:00-5:00PM (Online)

Location: Lecture: IRC-Floor 1-Room 4

Instructor: Dr. Mahsa Jessri, PhD, RD

Office: FNH 215-2205 East Mall, Vancouver British Columbia, V6T 1Z4

Email: mahsa.jessri@ubc.ca

Office Hours: By appointment (Flexible time/date)

Course TAs:

Julia Chen: julia.chen@ubc.ca (Course TA for: Sept. 2025)

Saba Jalali: saba.jalali@ubc.ca (Course TA for: Oct. 2025)

Lulu Pei: lulu.pei@ubc.ca (Course TA for: Nov. 2025)

Amber Yifei Wang: yifei.wang@ubc.ca

Mathilde Wilhelmy: mathilde.wilhelmy@ubc.ca

Information for Students in the Dietetics Major:

This course, like all required courses in the Dietetics Major, contributes to coverage of the Integrated Competencies for Dietetic Education and Practice (ICDEP). All students in the Dietetics Major should refer to the Mapping of Curriculum to ICDEP page on the dietetics website to familiarize themselves with the requirements.

1. COURSE DESCRIPTION

Course Description (Calendar): Process of research; principles and processes in utilizing research. Restricted to students in majors in the FNH program.

Background: “The science of nutrition is the human endeavor to understand how what we eat affects our health. What kinds of diets are best to prevent chronic diseases such as heart disease, cancer, or diabetes? To what extent can good nutrition reduce stress, prevent memory loss, or even prolong longevity? What diets are best for infants, toddlers, and children to develop their optimal physical powers and mental faculties? Fuelled by overwhelming evidence that a significant reduction in the global burden of disease must be nutrition based, new discoveries are being generated at an explosive rate and are producing major shifts in understanding. Thrown into this mix is a daily barrage of miraculous health claims and counterclaims presented by the media and those with vested interests. In this environment, not only is it important for nutrition graduates to have a mastery of knowledge about nutrition *but more importantly, to have the skills and ability to learn new knowledge, to see things from different perspectives, to critically evaluate information, to judge what is useful and what is not, and to be able to communicate and apply this knowledge.*



Without these skills of critical evaluation, one's knowledge will become dated very quickly.”
(adapted with permission from CM Skeaff, Professor, University of Otago, New Zealand)

Course Aims: The purpose of this course is to introduce the student to research principles and processes that will allow them to interpret, critically evaluate, and apply research in the nutritional sciences.

Learning Objectives:

By the end of FNH 398, students are expected to be able to:

1. Describe the importance of research and how research informs professional practice.
2. Critically read and interpret the nutrition research literature and evaluate the quality of research studies.
3. Explain the characteristics of the different research paradigms used in nutrition research including qualitative and quantitative approaches. For each paradigm, identify strengths, limitations, and indications for use.
4. Describe the various stages of the nutrition research process and what tasks need to be completed during each (conceptualization, reviewing the literature, planning and design, methodology, data analysis, interpretation, dissemination, re-conceptualizing).
5. Describe the principles underlying ethics in research including “informed consent”.
6. Explain the key attributes of validity and reliability, how they are assessed and their importance for research.
7. Interpret basic statistical approaches used in nutrition research and explain core concepts needed for applying descriptive and inferential statistics to quantitative data.
8. Describe the uses, strengths and limitations of the following research approaches: qualitative studies, animal studies, cross-sectional studies, case-control studies, cohort studies, and randomized control trials, reviews and meta-analyses.
9. Critically read current nutrition literature (and know where to find it).

2. LEARNING RESOURCES

Course Readings:

- **Required readings** and online resources needed to prepare for class are listed on the syllabus with links provided on Canvas
- **Recommended supplemental textbook:** Portney, L. G., & EBSCOhost. (2020). *Foundations of Clinical Research: Applications to evidence-based practice* (4th edition) F.A. Davis Company. (Available through the University of British Columbia Library Online.)

3. COURSE DESIGN AND PEDAGOGIC APPROACH:

Educational Strategies: This course is offered in form of a seminar and therefore students are required to actively participate and be prepared for the class. This course reflects instructor's commitment to principles of adult education and is “learner-centered” to maximise flexibility and accessibility of instruction. As a result, the 3 main pillars of this course include:

- **Online Materials:** Including PowerPoint presentations, readings, audiovisuals, or activities that should be reviewed prior to each week's class on Canvas



- **Weekly Seminars:** Including attendance and active participation in **lectures** which will include active learning and occasional guest lectures.
- **Tutorials:** Tutorials are held weekly and are meant to be a time for students to test their knowledge of the material using guided practice questions. This is also a time to ask any questions you may have. Please attend these tutorials and be an **active participant**.

The online materials for each week are designed to complement that week's lectures and therefore should be completed before attending the class. It is expected that students complete all assigned readings prior to the seminar session to actively participate in generating ideas and discussing the issues under discussion.

This course employs an active learning approach with learning strategies that include whole class discussions and written assignments. Students are welcome to post thoughts, questions and comments on the Canvas.

Communication:

- A discussion board has been created on Canvas to enable students to post questions and to exchange thoughts and ideas or comments about the course content or assignments. Students are encouraged to respond to each other, and instructor and teaching assistants will respond on a regular basis.
- To improve the course content continuously, Canvas announcement section will be updated regularly to alert students with time-sensitive or critical course items. Please check the Canvas on a regular basis.
- Please email the instructor directly with issues of personal nature or your feedbacks regarding course administration. Emails should be answered within a time frame of 24 hours. This might change if your email has been sent on a weekend or holiday.

4. STUDENT EVALUATION

Your final grade will be calculated out of 100 and will then be converted using the University of British Columbia's grading system.

- All assigned reading is to be done **prior to** class meetings in order to be prepared for class discussions.
- All assignments must be handed in on time. Assignments 1 & 2 and Article Review Form should be handed in **on Canvas**. The Canvas log will confirm that the assignment was submitted on time.
- Any assignment turned in after the due date will be graded late and points will be automatically deducted. **A 10% penalty will be given per day late.**
- All assignments should have **page numbers**, and your **student ID at the top of each page**.



Components	Weight of total Mark	Due Date
I) Assignment #1: Searching the Scientific Literature	10%	Oct. 8 th
II) Assignment #2: Quality Criteria Checklist Assignment	10%	Dec. 5 th
III) Online Mini-Quizzes (2@ 7%)	14%	Oct 3 rd and Nov 28 th
IV) Mid-Term Exam	24%	Oct. 22
V) Final Exam	33%	TBD
VI) Completed Article Review Form (1@ 1%)	1%	Nov. 14 th
VII) Graded In-Class Questions	4%	Throughout the Semester
VIII) Class Participation	4%	Throughout the Semester

During the first week of the class, no assignment or reading is due. I want to make sure that everyone has access to the course materials and has a chance to read over the outline and understand responsibilities. A brief summary of each assignment is provided below. Please refer to Canvas for the full instructions and assignment templates.

I) Assignment #1: Searching the Scientific Literature

1. Using the scenario provided on Canvas as a guide, develop a clear research question using the PICO framework.
2. Conduct a Medline search on the Ovid platform to identify key studies that have examined your research question.
3. Save and submit the abstracts for three research articles identified through your search that are most relevant to your PICO question.
4. For each of the papers that you selected:
 - Provide a full citation for the article
 - Name the type of research article
 - Briefly describe how each of your selected research article precisely addresses each component of your PICO question
5. Sign up for a journal alert/electronic table of contents for a peer-reviewed scientific journal. Submit the confirmation of sign-up received from the journal.

II) Assignment #2: Critically Reviewing the Literature (Quality Criteria Checklist)

- Review/summarize the paper using the Form for Abstracting Research Papers and fill out the Quality Criteria Checklist using the templates provided on Canvas.
An example of an abstracted article is posted here:
http://www.anddeal.org/worksheet.cfm?worksheet_id=250517

III) Mini-Quiz(zes):

You will complete them during a class session. If not, a score of zero is assigned. We recommend that you note the date(s) for the mini quiz(zes) now, and do not forget them!! If you miss the mini quiz you will receive a score of zero.



IV) Mid-Term Exam:

You will have the full class session (80 minutes) to complete the midterm which will focus on all materials presented before the date of midterm exam. Midterms will mainly include multiple choice questions and short answer questions.

V) Final Exam

You will have up 2.5 hours to complete the final exam. It will include mainly multiple-choice questions and short answer questions. The final exam is **cumulative** (i.e., it will cover the whole term) with an emphasis on material covered since the midterm.

When preparing for course exams, you will *not* be expected to memorize specific methodological details from primary research studies that we reviewed or that you critiqued for article reviews or assignment #2. However, you will be expected to be able to critically evaluate research studies, interpret data and findings from original studies, describe and discuss the approaches used in various research studies and apply the skills and language used throughout this course to answer questions about similar nutrition-related research studies.

VI) Completed Article Review Form

Please follow directions on Canvas.

VII & VIII) Graded In-Class Questions & Class participation

In order to encourage in-class participation, in-class questions will on occasion be used to assess comprehension and critical analysis from assigned readings.

5. CLASS POLICIES

Absentee Policy

We expect you to be present and prepared for all class meetings. In the event that you are unable to attend a scheduled class because of illness or emergency, you are responsible for any material presented in class. UBC's policy regarding illness and accommodations are available at <http://www.calendar.ubc.ca/Vancouver/index.cfm?tree=3,48,0,0>. You are expected to contact the instructor for any absence that requires accommodation.

Academic Accommodation

This course will follow the policies regarding accommodation set out by the University for students with disabilities (<http://www.calendar.ubc.ca/Vancouver/index.cfm?tree=3,34,0,0>), when academic concession is required (<http://www.calendar.ubc.ca/vancouver/index.cfm?tree=3,48,0,0>) and regarding religious accommodation:

https://senate.ubc.ca/J-136_Religious-Cultural-Observances_20200415_0/

In resolving any discrepancy regarding policies for this course, Academic Calendar regulations and University policy take precedence.

Policy Regarding Late or Missed Assignments or Term Paper

Please contact the instructor immediately if you miss any of the assignments or paper. Unless for a compelling reason (e.g., illness or personal distress) students will be given a grade of zero if they miss the assignments. Arrangements must be made with the instructor to make up for the missed assessment.



Policy Regarding Missed In-Class Engagement Activity

Since in-class engagement activities are designed for evaluating students' learning and participation for each session, there will be no opportunity to make up for an engagement activity, unless in compelling circumstances (e.g., illness, personal distress, etc.). Please be in touch with the instructor. Students will be given a grade of zero for each activity missed.

Policy Regarding Re-read of Assignments

University of British Columbia's students have the right to request a grade review or to appeal grades. If you have concerns or need explanation about grading of your assignment, you may request a re-read within two weeks after assignments are marked. To request a re-read, clearly identify the sentences in your assignments in a separate sheet, and explain why you think you should receive extra marks, and submit the sheet to the instructor/teaching assistants prior to the meeting. Your marks can go up, down or stay the same.

6. ACADEMIC INTEGRITY

Please be aware that plagiarism or cheating of any kind will be cause for “no credit” on the assignment, and possible failure in the course.

Students and instructor have the important responsibility of maintaining the integrity of learning and teaching relationship, which is characterized by honesty, fairness and mutual respect. Academic integrity is a fundamental value at the University of British Columbia. The academic fraud and dishonesty are defined as actions that compromise academic integrity and consequences of such acts, which are available at: <http://www.calendar.ubc.ca/vancouver/?tree=3,286,0,0>

Any academic misconduct is taken seriously and is punishable by appropriate disciplinary action at the University of British Columbia. Students should be familiar and abide by the regulation on academic conduct which outlines the kinds of actions that constitute *fraud including, plagiarism or cheating, submitting works that are not fully the student's own, presenting results that are falsified or fabricated, copy or allowing others to copy one's work, submitting the same work or the large part of the same work in more than one course, falsifying academic evaluations, unauthorized aids in assignments, submitting work prepared in collaboration with others when such collaborations have not been allowed*. Plagiarism is defined by the UBC Calendar as “intellectual theft (that) occurs when an individual submits or presents the oral or written work of another person as his or her own.” (The University of British Columbia. Calendar 2008/09, p.59). Plagiarism is a growing concern at UBC, as indicated in the following statement from the website of UBC's Vice President Academic:

“Fortunately, the Internet, which has made plagiarising easier, also provides a system for possible detection. As one part of an institutional response to the issue of plagiarism, [UBC has subscribed to an electronic service called TurnItIn](#). While the focus is primarily on this Internet-based service, information is also provided about the larger context in which plagiarism must be addressed, including [UBC Policies on Plagiarism](#) and suggestions on [Reducing Plagiarism](#).”



If you have not already done so, you should familiarize yourself with UBC's policies, and the steps you can take to avoid plagiarism. The UBC Library has an excellent site on plagiarism, with links to online tutorials <http://help.library.ubc.ca/planning-your-research/academic-integrity-plagiarism/>.

Although plagiarism of written work can now be detected through services such as TurnItIn, it is more difficult to detect situations when students use the work of others (including their fellow students) when completing individual assignments. Studying with others or discussing issues with them is completely legitimate and is encouraged; **however, collaborating with others while completing individual assignments or sharing unauthorized material is not.**

The Use of Generative Artificial Intelligence Tools

Students are permitted to use artificial intelligence tools, including generative AI, for formative work such as gathering information or brainstorming but may not use it on any assessed work or final submission. Use of these tools is considered an unauthorized means to complete an examination or other assignment or assessment and would be considered academic misconduct.

7. COURSE EVALUATIONS

Mid-Course Evaluation: I am interested in improving this course and need to hear from you. Please feel free to make constructive suggestions at any point during the term. At the mid-point of the course, I will gather feedbacks and recommendations from you asking students to anonymously administer and deliver course evaluations. All students are encouraged to participate actively in this process and will be notified of the date in advance.

Final Evaluation: Towards the end of the course (Nov. 16th- Dec. 2nd), you will receive a standardized summative evaluation on a pre-specified date by student monitors. Anonymity is guaranteed.

8. COPYRIGHT

All materials of this course (course handouts, recordings, lecture slides, assessments, course readings, etc.) are the intellectual property of the Course Instructor (Dr. Mahsa Jessri) or licensed to be used in this course by the copyright owner.

Redistribution of these materials by any means without the permission of the copyright holder(s) constitutes a breach of copyright and may lead to academic discipline. Note that only the course instructor is permitted to record/share the class sessions and tutorials.

**Tentative Course Topics & Schedule**

WEEK	DATE	KEY TOPICS/ ACTIVITIES	READINGS	ASSESSMENTS
Part I: Introduction to Research				
1	Sept 05	Introduction	(Porter & Matel, 1998) Chapter 1	
2	Sept 10	Tools for searching the literature <i>Katherine Miller, Reference Librarian, Woodward Library, UBC</i>	Please view the Library research guide for FNH398: Library Guides Make sure to watch all videos (Medline (Ovid) ~20 minutes of videos)	
2	Sept 12	Overview of Research Design	(Blumberg et al., 2010)	
3	Sep 17	Research Dissemination	(Gray & Gray, 2002)	
3	Sep 19	Criteria for Causation	(Potischman & Weed, 1999)	
Part II: The Research Process				
4	Sept 24	Importance of sampling	Chapter 13	
4	Sept 26	Measurement and Reliability	(Gleason, Harris, Sheean, Boushey, & Bruemmer, 2010) Chapter 8 and 9	
5	Oct 01	Reliability and validity continued	(Guenther, Reedy, Krebs-Smith, & Reeve, 2008) Chapter 10	
5	Oct 03	Descriptive Statistics	Chapter 20	
6	Oct 08	Inferential Statistics Part I	Chapter 23	Assigment #1 due Oct .08
6	Oct 10	Inferential Statistics Part II	Chapter 23 (recommended additional Chapters 19-25)	Mini Quiz due Oct. 10
7	Oct 15	Ethics	(Nestle, 2001) (Ahmed, Beck, Maurana, & Newton, 2004) Chapter 7	
7	Oct 17	Qualitative Research	(Harris et al., 2009) Chapter 21 (page 306-312)	
8	Oct 22	***MIDTERM EXAM***		
Part III: Research Study Design & Example Nutrition Studies				
8	Oct 24	Cross-sectional and Survey Research	Chapter 11	
9	Oct 29	Survey Research Article Review #1 - discussion	(Riediger, LaPlante, Mudryj, & Clair, 2022)	Article Review #1
9	Oct 31	Case-Control Studies	(Bruemmer et al., 2009) Chapter 19	
10	Nov 05	Case-control Research Article Review #2 - discussion	(Chen et al., 2021)	Article Review #2



WEEK	DATE	KEY TOPICS/ ACTIVITIES	READINGS	ASSESSMENTS
10	Nov 07	Cohort Studies	Chapter 19	
11	Nov 14	Cohort Research Article Review #3 - discussion	(Iqbal et al., 2021)	Article Review #3 – Cohort due Nov 14
12	Nov 19	Basic/ Animal Research	(Baker, 2008)	
12	Nov 21	Experimental Design Part I	Chapter 16	
13	Nov 26	Experimental Design Part II		
13	Nov 28	Review Studies and Meta- Analyses	(Akobeng, 2005) (Autier & Gandini, 2007) (Moher & Tricco, 2008)	
14	Dec 03	Practice-based Evidence in Nutrition	Review PEN website and any related PEN resources on Canvas: http://www.pennutrition.com/ aboutpen.aspx	
14	Dec 05	Course Summary and Exam Review		Assignment #2 due Dec 05



Core Course Readings:

Ahmed, S. M., Beck, B., Maurana, C. A., & Newton, G. (2004). Overcoming barriers to effective community-based participatory research in US medical schools. *Educ Health (Abingdon)*, 17(2), 141-151. doi:10.1080/13576280410001710969

Akobeng, A. K. (2005). Understanding systematic reviews and meta-analysis. *Archives of disease in childhood*, 90(8), 845-848. doi:10.1136/ad.2004.058230

Autier, P., & Gandini, S. (2007). Vitamin D supplementation and total mortality: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Archives of internal medicine*, 167(16), 1730-1737. doi:10.1001/archinte.167.16.1730

Baker, D. H. (2008). Animal models in nutrition research. *The Journal of nutrition*, 138(2), 391-396. Retrieved from <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18203909>

Blumberg, J., Heaney, R. P., Huncharek, M., Scholl, T., Stampfer, M., Vieth, R., . . . Zeisel, S. H. (2010). Evidence-based criteria in the nutritional context. *Nutrition reviews*, 68(8), 478-484. doi:10.1111/j.1753-4887.2010.00307.x

Bruemmer, B., Harris, J., Gleason, P., Boushey, C. J., Sheean, P. M., Archer, S., & Van Horn, L. (2009). Publishing Nutrition Research: A Review of Epidemiologic Methods. *Journal of the American Dietetic Association*, 109(10), 1728-1737. Retrieved from <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/B758G-4X9F7CD-G/2/fb92d62917205cfba3d36655c8568ce0>

Chen, W., Faris, M. e. A.-I. E., Bragazzi, N. L., AlGahtani, H. M., Saif, Z., Jahrami, A., . . . Jahrami, H. (2021). Diet-related inflammation is associated with major depressive disorder in bahraini adults: results of a case-control study using the dietary inflammatory index. *Journal of Inflammation Research*, 1437-1445.

Gleason, P. M., Harris, J., Sheean, P. M., Boushey, C. J., & Bruemmer, B. (2010). Publishing Nutrition Research: Validity, Reliability, and Diagnostic Test Assessment in Nutrition-Related Research. *Journal of the American Dietetic Association*, 110(3), 409-419. doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jada.2009.11.022>

Gray, G. E., & Gray, L. K. (2002). Evidence-based medicine: applications in dietetic practice. *J Am Diet Assoc*, 102(9), 1263-1272; discussion 1272. doi:S0002822302902798 [pii]

Guenther, P. M., Reedy, J., Krebs-Smith, S. M., & Reeve, B. B. (2008). Evaluation of the Healthy Eating Index-2005. *Journal of the American Dietetic Association*, 108(11), 1854-1864. Retrieved from <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/B758G-4TT89NH-G/2/c88c0b31e9e0f7e5a1415384df44ac52>

Harris, J. E., Gleason, P. M., Sheean, P. M., Boushey, C., Beto, J. A., & Bruemmer, B. (2009). An introduction to qualitative research for food and nutrition professionals. *J Am Diet Assoc*, 109(1), 80-90. doi:S0002-8223(08)01895-6 [pii] 10.1016/j.jada.2008.10.018

Iqbal, R., Dehghan, M., Mente, A., Rangarajan, S., Wielgosz, A., Avezum, A., . . . Swaminathan, S. (2021). Associations of unprocessed and processed meat intake with mortality and cardiovascular disease in 21 countries [Prospective Urban Rural Epidemiology (PURE) Study]: a prospective cohort study. *The American journal of clinical nutrition*, 114(3), 1049-1058.

Moher, D., & Tricco, A. C. (2008). Issues related to the conduct of systematic reviews: a focus on the nutrition field. *Am J Clin Nutr*, 88(5), 1191-1199. Retrieved from <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18996852>

Nestle, M. (2001). Food company sponsorship of nutrition research and professional activities: a conflict of interest? *Public Health Nutr*, 4(5), 1015-1022. doi:S1368980001001069 [pii]

Porter, C., & Matel, J. L. S. (1998). Are we Making Decisions Based on Evidence? *Journal of the American Dietetic Association*, 98(4), 404-407. Retrieved from <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/B758G-48B4S1N-2V2/c4d5e7d4b761cc06a1e225fbd24adf36>



Potischman, N., & Weed, D. L. (1999). Causal criteria in nutritional epidemiology. *Am J Clin Nutr*, 69(6), 1309S-1314S. Retrieved from

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/query.fcgi?cmd=Retrieve&db=PubMed&dopt=Citation&list_uids=10359231

Riediger, N. D., LaPlante, J., Mudryj, A., & Clair, L. (2022). Examining differences in diet quality between Canadian Indigenous and non-Indigenous adults: results from the 2004 and 2015 Canadian Community Health Survey Nutrition Surveys. *Canadian Journal of Public Health*, 113(3), 374-384.